Student Name:			Student Year:		
RESEARCH QUALIFIER: WRITING QUALIFIER					
research and robotics as a whole research material in written forn acquiring background and detail	. The writing qualifier is desi as appropriate for audiences at ed knowledge from the writin	gned to examine the student's ab- different levels of sophistication	and with different requirements for active graphics and captions that are		
which the student is the primary document (executive summary canalysis, and graphics) may be of paper in the space of about one j reported should be appropriate for have detailed knowledge of the space.	author (e.g. a journal paper, of the technical paper) written frawn from the technical paper ournal page of text and graph or a reader who is familiar with specific research topic studied	onference paper, or technical repsolely by the student. The technical The summary should be an "exics. The scope of topical backgrowth the broad issues addressed by r	cal content of the summary (data, secutive overview" of the research and and the level of research detail obotics research, but who does not aputer Science is a good example of the		
		but are not limited to): the studend the student's research in support	nt's research skills project, the student's rt of a thesis proposal.		
Qualifier Committee, the review	should address both the sum	Form must be answered by each mary document and the underlyin whether the student has sufficient	g technical document. The committee		
General Questions:					
	ctory, and provide at least one	sentence of explanation. For eac	each question, circle a rating, on the h question, the form provides a set of		
 Organization: The student must be able to present the material in a clear, concise manner, with a scope appropriate to the audience. Did the document include an appropriate balance of introduction, background, research content, conclusions, and preview of future work including presentation graphics and references, appropriate for the document type? Was the document sectioning clear, uniform, and sufficiently detailed to give the reader a precise overview of the document and clear road signs as to where he or she was in it at any particular time? Did the conclusions clearly delineate among the results conclusively proven by the research reported, interesting possibilities suggested by the research reported but not yet conclusively proven, and the author's speculations as to where the research might lead given additional breakthroughs and resources, etc? After reading the paper could the reader independently generate the writer's keyword list? Did the writer anticipate and address all of the natural questions and concerns about the nature and motivation of the work? Excellent Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory 					
Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory		

- 2. Writing style: The student should write clearly, use appropriate language, and present himself or herself in a professional manner neither too stodgy nor too colloquial.
 - Was the language and style appropriate and professional?

Explanation:

- Did the writer leave a good impression by paying scrupulous attention to document formatting, sizing and location of graphics, grammar, punctuation, and spelling?
- Did the writer credit predecessors, competitors, and collaborators with appropriate acknowledgements in the text, citations, and acknowledgements section per se?
- Did the writer follow the formatting and style requirements of the publication for which the document was intended?

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory			
	Explanation:						
3.	 Engaging the reader: Did the title clearly inform the reader of the topic and the nature of the work reported (e.g., whether it is theory or experiment). Did the abstract provide an accurate synopsis, and appropriate enticements to make the reader want to read the article? Did the writer keep the reader interested? Did the writer anticipate problems that the reader might have and address them with appropriate use of cross references, citations, footnotes, and appendices? 						
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory			
	Explanation:						
				_			
Big	Picture Questions:						
4.	Provide a one sente	ence summary of the documen	t's topic.				
5.	Provide a one sente	ence description of the docume	ent's intended audience.				
	T :						
6.	List three specific	strengths of the document.					
7	I : 41 :: 6:	1					
7.	List three specific	weaknesses of the document.					
		<u> </u>					
Ove	om oll - boyy yyoydd yyo	on mate the decormant for the int	andad aydianaa?				
Ov	Excellent	ou rate the document for the int Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory			
Ext		Good		Offsatisfactory			
<i></i> /1							
Thi	s student	HAS SATISFIED	IS MAKING PROGRESS TOWARD	the writing qualifier.			
				€ 1····			
Committee Member Name: Date:							
Co	mmittee Member Sig	gnature:					