

# HipNav: Pre-operative Planning and Intra-operative Navigational Guidance for Acetabular Implant Placement in Total Hip Replacement Surgery

A.M. DiGioia, M.D.,<sup>1,2</sup>, D.A. Simon<sup>1,2</sup>, B. Jaramaz<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Blackwell<sup>2</sup>, F. Morgan<sup>2</sup>,  
R.V. O'Toole<sup>3</sup>, B. Colgan<sup>1</sup>, E. Kischell<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Orthopaedic Research  
Shadyside Hospital  
Pittsburgh, PA 15232

<sup>2</sup>Robotics Institute  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

<sup>3</sup>Harvard Medical School  
25 Shattuck St.  
Boston MA 02115


## Abstract

*The Hip Navigation or HipNav system allows a surgeon to determine optimal, patient-specific acetabular implant placement, and accurately achieve the desired acetabular implant placement during surgery. HipNav includes three components: a pre-operative planner, a range of motion simulator, and an intra-operative tracking and guidance system. The goals of the current HipNav system are to: 1) reduce dislocations following total hip replacement due to acetabular malposition; 2) determine and potentially increase the "safe" range of motion; 3) reduce wear debris resulting from impingement of the implant's femoral neck with the acetabular rim; and 4) track in real time the position of the pelvis and acetabulum during surgery. This information will help the surgeon achieve more reliable and accurate positioning of the acetabular cup and take into account specific anatomy for individual patients. The HipNav system provides for a new class of research tools that can be used intra-operatively to permit surgeons to re-examine commonly held assumptions concerning bone and implant motion, range of motion testing, and the "optimal" alignment of acetabular cups.*

**Keywords:** computer-assisted surgery, total hip replacement, navigational guidance.

## 1 Introduction

The incidence of dislocation following primary total hip replacement (THR) surgery is between 2-6% and even higher following revisions [5][4]. It is, therefore, one of the most commonly occurring complications following hip replacement surgery. Dislocation of a total hip replacement causes significant distress to the patient and physician and is associated with significant additional costs in order to relocate the hip. Another complication of THR surgery is impingement between the neck of the femoral implant and the rim of the acetabular component, as shown in Figure 1. Impingement can lead to advanced wear of the acetabular rim resulting in polyethylene wear debris shown to accelerate loosening of implant bone interfaces. The position at which impingement occurs is determined by the design and geometry of the implants (such as the size of the femoral head, the width of the neck, and the design of the acetabular liner), and more importantly by the relative position of the femoral and acetabular implants. In certain cases, impingement may result in dislocation, as seen in the X-Ray of Figure 2. The causes of dislocation following total hip replacement are multi-factorial and include not only malposition of the implants causing impingement, but also soft tissue and bone impingement, and soft tissue laxity [5]. However, the most common cause of both impingement and dislocation is malposition of the acetabular component [5].




**Figure 1: Implant impingement.**



**Figure 2: X-Ray showing pelvic dislocation.**

A system has been developed to permit accurate placement of the acetabular component during surgery. As shown in Figure 3, the Hip Navigation or HipNav system includes three components: a pre-operative planner, a range of motion simulator, and an intra-operative tracking and guidance system. The pre-operative planner allows the surgeon to manually specify the position of the acetabular component within the pelvis based upon pre-operative CT images. The range of motion simulator estimates femoral range of motion based upon the implant placement parameters provided by the pre-operative planner. The feedback provided by the simulator can aid the surgeon in determining optimal, patient specific acetabular implant placement. The intra-operative tracking and guidance system is used to accurately place the implant in the predetermined optimal position regardless of the position of the patient on the operating room table.

By accurately placing the acetabular component in an optimally selected position, the HipNav system has the potential to reduce the **risk of** dislocations and the generation of wear debris caused by impingement resulting from malpositioned components and increase the “safe” range of motion.



**Figure 3: HipNav system overview.**

## 2 Current Practice


Current planning for acetabular implant placement and size selection is performed using acetate templates and a single anterior-posterior X-Ray of the pelvis. Acetabular templating is most commonly performed to determine the approximate size of the acetabular component, but there is little effort to accurately determine the ideal position of the implant.

The intra-operative positioning devices currently used by surgeons attempt to align the acetabular component with respect to the sagittal and coronal planes of the patient [6]. These devices assume that the patient's pelvis and trunk are aligned in a known orientation, and do not take into account individual variations in a patient's anatomy or pelvic position on the operating room table. Use of this type of positioner can lead to a wide discrepancy between the desired and actual implant placement, possibly resulting in reduced range of motion, impingement and subsequent dislocation.

## 3 System Description

The first step in using the HipNav system is the pre-operative CT scan which is used to determine the patient's specific bony geometry. The CT images are used in the pre-operative planner which allows the surgeon to determine appropriate implant size and placement. In the current version of the planner, the surgeon can position cross sections of the acetabular implant upon orthogonal views of the pelvis, as seen in Figure 4. We are investigating other methods of presenting CT data to the surgeon, including an approach which displays implant placement on multiple CT cross sections, each of which passes through the acetabulum's central axis (the axis which passes through the center of pelvic rotation and which is perpendicular to the plane of the acetabular rim).

Once the surgeon has selected the position of the acetabular implant, the range of motion simulator is used to determine the femoral positions (in terms of extension/flexion, abduction/adduction, and internal/external rotation) at which impingement would occur for that specific implant design and position. Based upon this range of motion information, the surgeon may choose to modify the selected position in an attempt to achieve the "optimal" cup position for the specific patient. The range of motion simulator performs a ki-




**Figure 4: Pre-operative planner.**


nematic analysis which determines an “envelope” of the safe range of motion, as seen in Figure 5. A more detailed description of the range of motion simulator appears in [3].

The optimal patient specific plan is used by the HipNav System in the operating room on the day of surgery. HipNav permits the surgeon to determine where the pelvis and acetabulum are in “operating room coordinates” at all times during surgery. Knowing the position of the pelvis during all phases of surgery, and especially during preparation and implantation of the acetabular implant, permits the surgeon to accurately and precisely position the cup according to the pre-operative plan. Alternately, using HipNav the surgeon can align the component to an accepted standard such as “true” **45** degrees of abduction and **20** degrees of anteversion.

There are several devices that are used intra-operatively to allow the surgeon to accurately execute the pre-operative plan, as seen in Figure 6. One device is an “Optotrak” optical tracking camera (Northern Digital Inc., Ontario, Canada) which is capable of tracking the position of special light emitting diodes or “LEDs”. These LEDs can be attached to bones, tools, or other pieces of operating room equipment to allow highly reliable tracking. Optotrak can achieve accuracies of roughly 0.1mm at speeds of 100 measurements per second or higher.




**Figure 5: Kinematic simulations: Left - implant geometry. Right - motion envelope.**




**Figure 6: Intra-operative execution.**

In order to determine the location of the pelvis and the acetabular implant during surgery, Optotrak targets are attached to several conventional surgical tools, as seen in Figure 7. The pelvis is tracked by attaching a target to the pelvic portion of a Harris leg length caliper (Zimmer, Inc., Warsaw, IN), and inserting this device into the wing of the ilium. The acetabular implant is tracked by attaching a second target to the handle of an HGP II acetabular cup holder and positioner (Zimmer, Inc., Warsaw, IN). A third Optotrak target is required by the HipNav system to determine operating room coordinates (i.e., left, right, up and down with respect to the surgeon).

Several key steps are necessary to use the HipNav intra-operative guidance system. One of the most important is the registration of pre-operative information (i.e., the CT scan and pre-operative plan) to the position of the patient on the operating room table. One limitation of current registration systems used in orthopaedics is the need for pins to be surgically implanted into bone before pre-operative images are acquired (e.g. [9]). An alternative technique being investigated within our group uses surface geometry to perform registration [8] [7]. In this approach, the surfaces of a bone (such as the pelvis or acetabulum) can be used to accurately align the intra-operative position of the patient to the pre-operative plan without the use of pins or other invasive procedures. Using this technique, it is necessary to sense multiple points on the surface of the bone with a digitizing probe during surgery. These “intra-operative data points” are then



**Figure 7: Standard surgical tools instrumented with optical tracking targets.**




**Figure 8: Surface-based registration.**


matched to a geometric description of the bony surface of the patient derived from the CT images used to plan the surgery.

The registration process is illustrated in Figure 8. The pelvic surface model was constructed from CT data using techniques described in [1]. The discrete points were collected using a digitizing probe which was physically touched to the indicated points. The goal of the process is to determine a “registration transformation” which best aligns the discrete points with the surface model. An initial estimate of this transformation is first determined using manually specified anatomical landmarks to perform corresponding point registration [2]. Once this initial estimate is determined, the surface-based registration algorithm described in [8] uses the pre- and intra-operative data to refine the initial transformation estimate.

Once the location of the pelvis is determined via registration, navigational feedback can be provided to the surgeon on a television monitor, as seen in Figure 9. This feedback is used by the surgeon to accurately position the acetabular implant within the acetabular cavity. To accurately align the cup within the acetabulum in the position determined by the pre-operative plan, the cross hairs representing the tip of the implant and the top of the handle must be aligned at the fixed cross hair in the center of the image. Once aligned, the implant is in the pre-operatively planned position and orientation.



**Figure 9: Navigational feedback.**



**Figure 10: Real-time tracking of the pelvis.**

Registration also allows the position of the pelvis to be tracked during surgery using the Optotrak system, as demonstrated in Figure 10. This eliminates the need for rigid fixation of the pelvis. In addition, this tracking ability allows us to record the position of the pelvis during surgery, and especially at key times such as at the time of implantation of the acetabular component or during range of motion testing.

## 4 Conclusions

The goals of the HipNav system are to: 1) reduce dislocations following total hip replacement due to acetabular malposition; 2) determine and potentially increase the “safe” range of motion; 3) reduce wear debris resulting from impingement of the implant’s femoral neck with the acetabular rim; and 4) track in real time the position of the pelvis and acetabulum during surgery. This information will help the surgeon achieve more reliable and accurate positioning of the acetabular cup and take into account specific anatomy for individual patients.

HipNav will also provide clinicians and researchers with a new class of tools for critically examining common assumptions concerning range of motion, bone motion, and “optimal,” alignment. For example, the pelvis can be tracked during surgery to determine its position at key times such as: prior to dislocation, following dislocation, and during acetabular component implantation. Using these tools, we can evaluate the efficacy of the HipNav system in placing the acetabular implant compared to traditional techniques, and critically examine commonly held beliefs of optimal acetabular position (i.e., 45 degrees of abduction, 20 degrees of anteversion).

The HipNav system holds the promise of reducing dislocation rates in primary and revision total hip replacement by optimizing the relative position of the acetabular implants and minimizing impingement. In addition, it will provide a new category of “smart” tools that will be useful to study issues in total hip replacement and ultimately other procedures.

## References

- [1] B. Geiger. *Three-dimensional modeling of human organs and its application to diagnosis and surgical planning*. PhD thesis, Ecole des Mines de Paris, April 1993.
- [2] B. K. P. Horn. Closed-form solution of absolute orientation using unit quaternions. *Journal of the Optical So-*

*ciety of America A*, 4(4):629–642, April 1987.

- [3] B. Jaramaz, S. M. Kladakis, A. M. Digioia, L. F. Kallivokas, and O. Ghattas. Simulation of implant impingement and dislocation in total hip replacement. In *Computer Assisted Radiology, 10th International Symposium and Exhibition*, Paris, June 1996.
- [4] D. E. McCollum, M.D. and W. J. Gray, M.D. Dislocation after total hip arthroplasty. *Clinical Orthopaedics*, (261):159–170, 1990.
- [5] B. F. Morrey, editor. *Reconstructive Surgery of the Joints*, chapter 91- Dislocation, pages 1247–1260. Churchill Livingstone, 1996.
- [6] B. F. Morrey, editor. *Reconstructive Surgery of the Joints*, chapter Joint Replacement Arthroplasty, pages 605–608. Churchill Livingstone, 1996.
- [7] D. A. Simon, M. Hebert, and T. Kanade. Real-time 3-d pose estimation using a high-speed range sensor. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 2235–2241, San Diego, CA, May 1994. IEEE.
- [8] D. A. Simon, M. Hebert, and T. Kanade. Techniques for fast and accurate intra-surgical registration. *Journal of Image Guided Surgery*, 1(1):17–29, April 1995.
- [9] R. H. Taylor, B. D. Mittelstadt, H. A. Paul, W. Hanson, P. Kazanzides, J. F. Zuhars, B. Williamson, B. L. Musits, E. Glassman, and W. L. Bargar. An image-directed robotic system for precise orthopaedic surgery. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 10(3):261–275, June 1994.